1		Honorable Lauren J. Kinզ	
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	IN THE UNITED STATES I		
7	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRIC AT TACOM		
8			
9	MONET CARTER-MIXON, as Personal Representative of the Estate of MANUEL ELLIS, and MARCIA CARTER,) NO. 21-CV-05692 BHS	
11	Plaintiffs,		
12	VS.	ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES OF DEFENDANT	
13	CITY OF TACOMA, CHRISTOPHER	BURBANK TO PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT	
14	BURBANK, MATTHEW COLLINS, MASYIH) FORD, TIMOTHY RANKINE, ARMANDO)	
15	FARINAS, RON KOMAROVSKY, PIERCE () COUNTY, GARY SANDERS, and ANTHONY)		
16	MESSINEO,		
17	Defendants.		
18			
19	COMES NOW Defendant Christopher Burbank, by and through his		
20	attorneys, Wayne C. Fricke and Brett A. Purtzer of the Hester Law Group, Inc.,		
21	P.S., and answer Plaintiffs' first amended complaint as follows:		
22	1. NATURE OF ACTION		
23	1.1 Answering paragraph 1.1, Defen	dant Burbank admits that the	
24	Plaintiffs have stated the identity of the parties	, the nature of the action, and the	
25	claims asserted in their Amended Complaint. E	Except as admitted, denied.	
	ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES OF DEFENDANT BURBANK - 1	HESTER LAW GROUP, INC., P.S. 1008 SOUTH YAKIMA AVENUE, SUITE 302 TACOMA, WASHINGTON 98405 (253) 272-2157	

- 1.2 Answering paragraph 1.2, Defendant Burbank admits that the named officers were all on duty as police officers with the City of Tacoma at the time of their interaction with Mr. Ellis. Except as admitted, denied.
- 1.3 Answering paragraph 1.3, Defendant Burbank denies all allegations.
- 1.4 The allegations contained in paragraph 1.4 are directed to other defendants. No answer is required from Defendant Burbank. To the extent any answer is required, denied for lack of information.
- 1.5 The allegations contained in paragraph 1.5 are directed to other defendants. No answer is required from Defendant Burbank. To the extent any answer is required, denied for lack of information.

II. PARTIES

- 2.1 Answering paragraph 2.1, Defendant Burbank denies all allegations for lack of information.
- 2.2 Answering paragraph 2.2, Defendant Burbank denies all allegations for lack of information.
- 2.3 Answering paragraph 2.3, Defendant Burbank admits that at all relevant times, he was employed as a law enforcement officer by the City of Tacoma. Except as admitted, denied for lack of information.
- 2.4 Answering paragraph 2.4, Defendant Burbank admits that at all relevant times, Matthew Collins was employed as a law enforcement officer by the City of Tacoma. Except as admitted, denied for lack of information.

25

- 2.5 Answering paragraph 2.4, Defendant Burbank admits that at all relevant times, Timothy Rankine was employed as a law enforcement officer by the City of Tacoma. Except as admitted, denied for lack of information.
- 2.6 Answering paragraph 2.4, Defendant Burbank admits that at all relevant times, Masyih Ford was employed as a law enforcement officer by the City of Tacoma. Except as admitted, denied for lack of information.
- 2.7 Answering paragraph 2.4, Defendant Burbank admits that at all relevant times, Armando Farinas was employed as a law enforcement officer by the City of Tacoma. Except as admitted, denied for lack of information.
- 2.8 Answering paragraph 2.4, Defendant Burbank admits that at all relevant times, Ron Komarovsky was employed as a law enforcement officer by the City of Tacoma. Except as admitted, denied for lack of information.
- 2.9 Answering paragraph 2.9, Defendant Burbank admits that

 Defendant City of Tacoma is a municipal corporation in the Western District of

 Washington and that at all relevant times, the named officers were employees of
 the City of Tacoma. Except as admitted, denied.
- 2.10 The allegations in paragraph 2.10 are directed at another defendant. No answer is required from Defendant Burbank. To the extent any answer is required, denied for lack of knowledge.
- 2.11 The allegations in paragraph 2.10 are directed at another defendant. No answer is required from Defendant Burbank. To the extent any answer is required, denied for lack of knowledge.

1	2.12	The allegations in paragraph 2.10 are directed at another	
2	defendant. N	lo answer is required from Defendant Burbank. To the extent any	
3	answer is required, denied for lack of knowledge.		
4		III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE	
5	3.1	Answering paragraph 3.1, Defendant Burbank admits that this	
6	Court has jurisdiction over the federal claims asserted in Plaintiff's Amended		
7	Complaint.		
8	3.2	Answering paragraph 3.2, Defendant Burbank admits that this	
9	Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims.		
10	3.3	Answering paragraph 3.3, Defendant Burbank admits that venue is	
11	proper.		
12		IV. FACTS	
13	4.1	Answering paragraph 4.1, Defendant Burbank denies all allegations	
14	for lack of kr		
15	4.2	Answering paragraph 4.2, Defendant Burbank denies all allegations	
16 17	for lack of kr		
18	4.3	Answering paragraph 4.3, Defendant Burbank denies all	
19	allegations.	, who we will also be a second and a second	
20	4.4	Answering paragraph 4.4, Defendant Burbank denies all	
21	allegations.	The working paragraph in it, Determand Dansanik delines and	
22	4.5	Answering paragraph 4.5, Defendant Burbank denies all	
23		Allswering paragraph 4.0, Determant Barbarik defined an	
24	allegations.		
25			
		SEEIRMATIVE DEFENSES OF DEFENDANT LIESTED LAW COOLID INC. D.S.	

- Answering paragraph 4.6, Defendant Burbank admits that he and Officer Collins exited their patrol vehicle at some point during their interaction with Mr. Ellis and admit that there is partial video of this incident. Except as
- Answering paragraph 4.7, Defendant Burbank admits that Mr. Ellis
- Answering paragraph 4.8, Defendant Burbank admits that Officer Collins was wearing a Tacoma Police Department uniform including a bulletproof vest. Except as admitted, denied for lack of information.
- Answering paragraph 4.9, Defendant Burbank admits that he has training in defensive tactics and was wearing a Tacoma Police Department uniform including a bulletproof vest. Except as admitted, denied for lack of
- Answering paragraph 4.10, Defendant Burbank denies all
- Answering paragraph 4.11, Defendant Burbank denies all
- 4.12 Answering paragraph 4.12, Defendant Burbank denies all
- Answering paragraph 4.13, Defendant Burbank denies all
- 4.14 Answering paragraph 4.14, Defendant Burbank denies all allegations.

1	4.15	Answering paragraph 4.15, Defenda	ant Burbank denies all
2	allegations.		
3	4.16	Answering paragraph 4.16, Defenda	ant Burbank denies all
4	allegations.		
5	4.17	Answering paragraph 4.17, Defenda	ant Burbank admits that Officers
6	Rankine and	Ford responded to the scene. Excep	ot as admitted, denied.
7	4.18	Answering paragraph 4.18, Defenda	ant Burbank denies all
8	allegations fo	or lack of information.	
9	4.19	Answering paragraph 4.19, Defenda	ant Burbank admits that Officer
10	Rankin assis	ted in restraining Manuel Ellis. Exce	pt as admitted, denied.
11	4.20	Answering paragraph 4.20, Defenda	ant Burbank admits that Mr. Ellis
12 13	was restraine	ed in handcuffs. Except as admitted,	denied.
14	4.21	Answering paragraph 4.21, Defenda	ant Burbank denies all
15	allegations.		
16	4.22	Answering paragraph 4.22, Defenda	ant Burbank denies all
17	allegations.		
18	4.23	Answering paragraph 4.23, Defenda	ant Burbank admits that a
19	hobble restra	aint was applied while restraining Mar	nuel Ellis. Except as admitted,
20	denied.		
21	4.24	Answering paragraph 4.24, Defenda	ant Burbank denies all
22	allegations.		
23	4.25	Answering paragraph 4.25, Defenda	ant Burbank admits that multiple
24 25	officers were	required to restrain Manuel Ellis. Ex	cept as admitted, denied.
	ANSWER AND A BURBANK - 6	FFIRMATIVE DEFENSES OF DEFENDANT	HESTER LAW GROUP, INC., P.S. 1008 SOUTH YAKIMA AVENUE, SUITE 302

	4.38	Answering paragraph 4.38, Defendant Burbank denies all
1		, anomorning paragraph moo, perconductor paragraph and
2	allegations.	
3	4.39	Answering paragraph 4.39, Defendant Burbank denies all
4	allegations.	
5	4.40	Answering paragraph 4.40, Defendant Burbank denies all
6	allegations.	
7	4.41	Answering paragraph 4.41, Defendant Burbank denies all
8	allegations.	
9	4.42	Answering paragraph 4.42, Defendant Burbank denies all
10	allegations.	
11	4.43	Answering paragraph 4.43, Defendant Burbank denies all
12		The transfer of the transfer o
13	allegations.	
14	4.44	Answering paragraph 4.44, Defendant Burbank denies all
15	allegations.	
16	4.45	Answering paragraph 4.45, Defendant Burbank denies all
17	allegations.	
18	4.46	The allegations in paragraph 4.46 are directed at another
19	defendant. N	lo answer is required by Defendant Burbank. To the extent any
20	answer is required, denied.	
21	4.47	The allegations in paragraph 4.47 are directed at another
22	defendant. N	lo answer is required by Defendant Burbank. To the extent any
23	answer is required, denied.	
24	a 3WC 3 C	quirou, ueriiou.
25		

4.66 The allegations in paragraph 4.66 are directed at another defendant.

No answer is required by Defendant Burbank. To the extent any answer is required, denied for lack of information.

- 4.67 The allegations in paragraph 4.67 are directed at another defendant. No answer is required by Defendant Burbank. To the extent any answer is required, denied for lack of information.
- 4.68 The allegations in paragraph 4.68 are directed at another defendant. No answer is required by Defendant Burbank. To the extent any answer is required, denied for lack of information.
- 4.69 The allegations in paragraph 4.69 are directed at another defendant. No answer is required by Defendant Burbank. To the extent any answer is required, denied for lack of information.
- 4.70 The allegations in paragraph 4.70 are directed at another defendant. No answer is required by Defendant Burbank. To the extent any answer is required, Defendant Burbank denies that Manuel Ellis was "choked" and denies all other allegations for lack of information.
- 4.71 The allegations in paragraph 4.71 are directed at another defendant. No answer is required by Defendant Burbank. To the extent any answer is required, denied.
- 4.72 Paragraph 4.72 states a legal conclusion to which no answer is required and is directed at another defendant. No answer is required from Defendant Burbank to the extent any answer is required, denied.

4.73 Paragraph 4.73 states a legal conclusion to which no answer is
required and is directed at another defendant. No answer is required from
Defendant Burbank. To the extent any answer is required, denied.

- 4.74 Paragraph 4.74 states a legal conclusion to which no answer is required and is directed at another defendant. No answer is required from Defendant Burbank. To the extent any answer is required, denied.
- 4.75 The allegations in paragraph 4.75 are directed at another defendant. No answer is required by Defendant Burbank. To the extent any answer is required, denied for lack of information.
- 4.76 The allegations in paragraph 4.76 are directed at another defendant. No answer is required by Defendant Burbank. To the extent any answer is required, denied for lack of information.
- 4.77 The allegations in paragraph 4.77 are directed at another defendant. No answer is required by Defendant Burbank. To the extent any answer is required, denied for lack of information.
- 4.78 The allegations in paragraph 4.78 are directed at another defendant. No answer is required by Defendant Burbank. To the extent any answer is required, denied for lack of information.
- 4.79 Answering paragraph 4.79, Defendant Burbank admits that he declined to answer questions without counsel present on March 4, 2020. Except as admitted, denied.

- 4.80 The allegations in paragraph 4.80 are directed at another defendant. No answer is required by Defendant Burbank. To the extent any answer is required, denied for lack of information.
- 4.81 The allegations in paragraph 4.81 are directed at another defendant. No answer is required by Defendant Burbank. To the extent any answer is required, denied for lack of information.
- 4.82 Paragraph 4.82 states a legal conclusion to which no answer is required and is directed at another defendant. No answer is required from Defendant Burbank. To the extent any answer is required, denied.
- 4.83 The allegations in paragraph 4.83 are directed at another defendant. No answer is required by Defendant Burbank. To the extent any answer is required, denied for lack of information.
- 4.84 Answering paragraph 4.84, Defendant Burbank admits that he was placed on administrative leave. Except as admitted, denied.
- 4.85 Answering paragraph 4.85, Defendant Burbank admits he returned to work after being placed on administrative leave, but denies all further allegations.
- 4.86 The allegations in paragraph 4.86 are directed at another defendant. No answer is required by Defendant Burbank. To the extent any answer is required, denied for lack of information.
- 4.87 The allegations in paragraph 4.87 are directed at another defendant. No answer is required by Defendant Burbank. To the extent any answer is required, denied for lack of information.

- 4.88 Answering paragraph 4.88, Defendant Burbank denies all allegations for lack of information.
- 4.89 Answering paragraph 4.89, Defendant Burbank admits that Victoria Woodards was at all relevant times mayor of the City of Tacoma. Except as admitted, denies for lack of information.
- 4.90 Answering paragraph 4.90, Defendant Burbank denies for lack of information.
- 4.91 The allegations in paragraph 4.91 are directed at another defendant. No answer is required by Defendant Burbank. To the extent any answer is required, denied for lack of information.
- 4.92 Answering paragraph 4.92, Defendant Burbank denies all allegations for lack of information.
- 4.93 The allegations in paragraph 4.93 are directed at another defendant. No answer is required by Defendant Burbank. To the extent any answer is required, denied for lack of information.
- 4.94 Answering paragraph 4.94, Defendant Burbank admits that the Washington State Patrol was involved in investigating this incident. Except as admitted, denies for lack of information.
- 4.95 Answering paragraph 4.95, Defendant Burbank denies for lack of information.
- 4.96 Answering paragraph 4.96, Defendant Burbank admits that he declined to be interviewed by Washington State Patrol. Except as admitted, the allegations are denied.

1	4.97 Answering paragraph 4.97, Defendant Burbank denies for lack of
2	information.
3	
4	4.98 Answering paragraph 4.98, Defendant Burbank denies for lack of
5	information.
6	4.99 Answering paragraph 4.99, Defendant Burbank denies for lack of
7	
8	information.
9	4.100 Answering paragraph 4.100, Defendant Burbank denies all
	allegations for lack of information.
10	4.101 Answering paragraph 4.101, Defendant Burbank denies all
11 12	allegations for lack of information.
12	4.102 Answering paragraph 4.102, Defendant Burbank admits that the
14	Washington State Attorney General announced its charging decision in May
15	2021. Except as admitted, denied for lack of information.
16	4.103 Answering paragraph 4.103, Defendant Burbank admits that this
17	paragraph contains an excerpt from the Declaration of Probable Cause filed by
18	the Attorney General. Except as admitted, denied.
19	4.104 Answering paragraph 4.104, Defendant Burbank admits that he and
20	the named officers have entered pleas of not guilty.
21	4.105 Paragraph 4.105 states a legal conclusion to which no answer is
22	required. To the extent any answer is required, denied.
23	4.106 Answering paragraph 4.106, Defendant Burbank admits that he has
24 25	been paid while on paid administrative leave. Except as admitted, denied.
	ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES OF DEFENDANT HESTER LAW GROUP, INC., P.S.

1	4.107 Answering paragraph 4.107, Defendant Burbank denies all
2	allegations.
3	4.108 Answering paragraph 4.108, Defendant Burbank denies all
4	allegations.
5	4.109 Answering paragraph 4.109, Defendant Burbank denies all
6	allegations.
7	4.110 Answering paragraph 4.110, Defendant Burbank denies all
8	allegations.
9	4.111 Answering paragraph 4.111, Defendant Burbank denies all
10	allegations.
11	4.112 Answering paragraph 4.112, Defendant Burbank denies all
12	allegations.
13 14	4.113 Answering paragraph 4.113, Defendant Burbank denies all
15	allegations.
16	4.114 The allegations in paragraph 4.93 are directed at another
17	defendant. No answer is required by Defendant Burbank. To the extent any
18	answer is required, denied for lack of information.
19	4.115 Answering paragraph 4.115, Defendant Burbank denies all
20	allegations.
21	4.116 Answering paragraph 4.116, Defendant Burbank denies all
22	allegations.
23	4.117 Answering paragraph 4.117, Defendant Burbank denies all
24 25	allegations.
2.0	ANOMED AND AFFIDMATIVE DEFENCES OF DEFENDANT

1	4.126	Answering paragraph 4.126, Def	endant Burbank denies all
2	allegations for lack of information.		
3	V. CAUSES OF ACTION		
4	5.1	Answering paragraph 5.1, Defen	dant Burbank denies all
5	allegations.		
6	5.2	Answering paragraph 5.2, Defendance	dant Burbank denies all
7	allegations.		
8	5.3	The allegations in paragraph 5.8	are directed to another defendant.
9	No answer is	s required from Defendant Burban	k. To the extent any answer is
0	required, denied.		
1	5.4		are directed to another defendant.
12	No answer is	s required from Defendant Burban	
13	required, denied.		
14	5.5		are directed to another defendant.
15		-	
7	No answer is required from Defendant Burbank. To the extent any answer is required, denied.		
18	5.6		dant Burbank denies all allegations
9	for lack of inf		dant Barbarin dernee an anegatione
20			are directed to another defendant
21	5.7	•	are directed to another defendant.
22		s required from Defendant Burban 	K. TO the extent any answer is
23	required, der	nied.	
24	**		
25	**		
	ANSWER AND A	FFIRMATIVE DEFENSES OF DEFENDANT	HESTER LAW GROUP, INC., P.S.

1	VI. DAMAGES ALLEGED		
2	6.1 Answering paragraph 6.1, Defendant Burbank denies all allegations.		
3	6.2	Answering paragraph 6.2, Defen	dant Burbank denies all allegations
4	for lack of in	formation.	
5	6.3	Answering paragraph 6.3, Defen	dant Burbank denies all
6	allegations.		
7	6.4	Answering paragraph 6.4, Defen	dant Burbank denies all
8	allegations.		
9	6.5	Answering paragraph 6.5, Defen	dant Burbank denies all
10	allegations.		
11	6.6	Answering paragraph 6.6, Defen	dant Burbank denies all
12 13	allegations.		
14	6.7	Answering paragraph 6.7, Defen	dant Burbank denies all
15	allegations.		
16	6.8	Answering paragraph 6.8, Defen	dant Burbank denies all
17	allegations.		
18	Additionally, paragraphs 6.1 through 6.8 constitute Plaintiffs' prayer for		
19	relief. Defendant Burbank denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any of the relief		
20	requested th	nerein.	
21		AFFIRMATIVE DE	<u>FENSES</u>
22	FURTHER, AND BY WAY OF AFFIRMATIVE AND OTHER DEFENSES,		
23	Defendant Burbank alleges as follows:		
24 25	**		
_0	ANSWER AND A	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES OF DEFENDANT	HESTER LAW GROUP, INC., P.S.
	lm.		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 1 Plaintiffs have failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. 2 SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 3 Defendant Burbank is entitled to qualified immunity under both federal and 4 state law. 5 THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 6 7 All actions by Defendant Burbank were performed in good faith, were 8 reasonable, were based on probable cause and/or reasonable suspicion and 9 were within his lawful authority. 10 FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 11 Plaintiffs' damages are proximately caused entirely by the conduct of 12 Manuel Ellis, who initiated a violent confrontation with the officers and died from 13 a self-administered lethal dose of methamphetamine. 14 FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 15 All of Plaintiffs' state law claims are barred under RCW 5.40.060(1). 16 SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 17 All of Plaintiff's state law claims are barred under RCW 4.24.420. 18 19 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 20 WHEREFORE, having fully answered Plaintiffs' complaint and having 21 asserted affirmative defenses, Defendant Burbank prays for the following relief: 22 That Plaintiffs' prayer for relief be denied in its entirety; 1. 23 That Plaintiff's claims be dismissed with prejudice and without 2. 24 costs; 25 ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES OF DEFENDANT HESTER LAW GROUP, INC., P.S.

BURBANK - 22

1008 SOUTH YAKIMA AVENUE, SUITE 302 TACOMA, WASHINGTON 98405 (253) 272-2157

1	3.	For Defendant Burbank's costs, disbursements and attorney fees to	
2	the fullest ex	e fullest extent allowed by law; and	
3	4.	For such other and further relief as the court deems appropriate.	
4		DATED THIS 1st day of February, 2022.	
5		HESTER LAW GROUP, INC., P.S.	
6		Attorneys for Defendant Burbank	
7			
8		By:	
9		Wayne C. Fricke WSB#16550	
10			
11			
12 13		Brett A. Purtzer	
14		WSB#17283	
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Kathy Herbstler, hereby certifies under penalty of perjury under the laws of

the State of Washington, that on the day set forth below, I electronically filed the

foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send

notification of such filing to the attorneys of record for the plaintiffs and co-

defendants and hereby certify that I have mailed the document by email to

Signed at Tacoma, Washington this 1st day of February, 2022.

1

2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

3

5

6

7

defendant Burbank.

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

20

BURBANK - 24

HESTER LAW GROUP, INC. P.S. 1008 South Yakima, #302 Tacoma, WA 98405 253-272-2157 253-572-1441 fax kathy@hesterlawgroup.com

Kathy Herbstler

ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES OF DEFENDANT

HESTER LAW GROUP, INC., P.S. 1008 SOUTH YAKIMA AVENUE, SUITE 302 TACOMA, WASHINGTON 98405 (253) 272-2157